



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,430	09/21/2000	Larry Koved	YOR9-2000-0253 8852 (728-170)	
7590 07/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul J Farrell Esq			ROCHE, TRENTON J	
Dilworth & Barrese 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	09/667,430	KOVED ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Trent J Roche	2124					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>	oril 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	·	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
	or 11.0 oc. 11.70 oc. 11.70	-					
	BEST AVAILABLE	COPY					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5, <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 09/667,430

Art Unit: 2124

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This office action is responsive to Amendment A filed 14 April 2004.
- 2. As per applicant's request, amended claims 1, 3, 4, 9-11, 16-18, 21-23, 26-38, 40-42, 46-48, 55-57, 60, 70 and 71 have been entered. Claims 1-71 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-71 have been examined.
- 4. In view of the applicant's amendments and remarks, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph have been withdrawn.
- 5. In view of the applicant's amendments and remarks, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 to U.S. Patent 6,085,035 to Ungar have been withdrawn.

## Drawings

6. The drawings were received on 19 April 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The invention as disclosed in claims 1-71 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a

Application/Control Number: 09/667,430

Art Unit: 2124

"useful, concrete and **tangible** result." (State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc., 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02.)

The claims, in general, are directed to a method and/or device of detecting the mutability of variables, objects, fields and classes in program components. This occurs by performing an analysis routine on the variables to determine whether state modifications could occur; however, the claims do not provide any sort of tangible result of any kind based on this analysis and determination. Specifically, independent claim 1 simply performs an analysis to determine the mutability of any variable in a program component, further stating what conditions the analysis is using for determining when a variable is mutable or immutable. However, the language as stated in claim 1 amounts to an abstract concept; the procedure does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. Dependent claims 5 and 6 indicate that various aspects are identified as a result of the proceeding analysis of the program component, however, the steps of identifying still amount to an abstract concept, and do not produce a tangible result as required by the State Street formulation.

Alternatively, independent claim 19 similarly performs testing on classes for the purposes of detecting mutability. The analysis determines the mutability of fields and classes, and based on this determination, re-classifies these classes as either immutable or mutable. As indicated above in regards to independent claim 1, the analysis of a program component amounts to an abstract concept; furthermore, the re-classification of the classes and class variables still do not clearly indicate what useful, concrete and tangible result benefits from this re-classification.

Finally, independent claim 26 discloses a library, utility module, and a sub-analysis module for generating results of the mutability analysis. However, the claims simply state what is used for the analysis, and do not further clarify what useful, concrete and tangible result occurs due to the use of these components. The claimed library and various modules only amount to descriptive material which are not recited as producing any useful, concrete and tangible result.

The remaining independent and dependent claims only recite methods or systems incorporating the steps or elements already discussed in connection with the above claims and do not recite any additional limitations that would cure the deficiencies with respect to the non-statutory subject

Application/Control Number: 09/667,430

Art Unit: 2124

Page 4

by the State Street formulation, and are thus directed to non-statutory subject matter.

On this basis, claims 1-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Conclusion

matter. Consequently, claims 1-71 fail to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result as required

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Trent J Roche whose telephone number is (703)305-4627. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703)305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trent J Roche Examiner

Art Unit 2124

Art Omt 2

KAKALI CHI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ecar cla.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

TJR

BEST AVAILABLE COPY